

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/509,669	04/04/2000	AKIHIRO MURATA	1058895	7794	
25944	7590 07/15/2002				
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 199 ALEXANDR	928 IA, VA 22320		AMARI, ALE	AMARI, ALESSANDRO V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872		
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2002	DATE MAILED: 07/15/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/509,669	MURATA ET AL.				
, lavios, y riolion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alessandro V. Amari	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 12 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a)						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s)a)oxtimes will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo) will be entered a ow or appended.	nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examir	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)



Application No. 009/509,669

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new issue is directed to the proposed language further defining the mounting member made of glass and having a core and a cladding formed therein .

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims as finally rejected do not distinguish the claimed optical module from the prior art.

09/W

Cassandra Spyrou Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800